9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# **ORIGINAL**

FILED
LODGED

CT 18 2002

RECEIVED

MR

ON

ON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

SHERRI SEYFRIED,

Plaintiff,

CV02-2163

v.

STATE OF WASHINGTON, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT (JURY DEMAND)

Plaintiff Sherri Seyfried (Prof Seyfried) alleges as follows:

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- 1. Prof Seyfried invokes this Court's jurisdiction to hear her claim for the denial of her right to equal protection of the law guaranteed by the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment to the Constitution of the United States. In addition, she seeks relief for the failure of the University of Washington (University) to follow its own mandatory procedures in its decision to deny her a tenured faculty position at the University's School of Social Work (School).
  - 2. Prof. Seyfried seeks damages and other appropriate legal and equitable relief for

Harrell, Desper, Connell, Hunter & Gautschi, P L L C.
600 Puget Sound Plaza
1325 Fourth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101
(206)583-0050
FAX (206)583-0051

COMPLAINT



CV 02-2163 #1

Harrell, Desper, Connell, Hunter & Gautschi, P L L C 600 Puget Sound Plaza 1325 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101 (206)583-0050 FAX (206)583-0051 University, in accordance with authority granted him or her by the Regents, may share with the University's faculty and academic deans the formulation of rules for governing the University.

- 13 Section 13-23 of the Faculty Code provides that the University's faculty and academic deans will have, with the President, joint responsibility for establishing criteria for granting tenure and formulating policies and procedures for determining whether to grant tenure to any given candidate for that status.
- 14. Section 13-24 of the Faculty Code requires that the faculty of the School make the initial recommendation regarding the granting of tenure to an assistant professor.
- 15. Section 24-54 of the Faculty Code requires the professors and associate professors of the School to meet to discuss the candidacy for tenure of the assistant professor and to vote on that candidacy.
- 16. Section 25-41.B of the Faculty Code mandates that that initial recommendation regarding whether to grant tenure to the assistant professor candidate be based on a majority vote of the professors and associate professors of the School.
- 17. Section 23-45 of the Faculty Code mandates that the School determine its own rules of procedure regarding the initial recommendation regarding tenure.
- 18 Section 23-56 of the Faculty Code makes clear that "[a]ll procedures regarding . . . tenure considerations outlined in the relevant sections of the Faculty Code must be followed . . . ..
- 19. Sections 25-41 and 25-32 require that the School conduct a review for tenure of an untenured assistant professor no later than the end of his or her sixth year of employment as an assistant professor at the University.
- 20. In accordance with the authority granted by Section 23-45 of the Faculty Code, the School had in place, during the period 1995 through 2000, Policy Guidelines for Tenure,

Harrell, Desper, Connell, Hunter & Gautschi, P.L L.C 600 Puget Sound Plaza 1325 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101 (206)583-0050

FAX (206)583-0051

COMPLAINT

Promotion, and Continuation of Appointment for Regular Faculty (Policies) and Procedural Guidelines for Appointment for Regular Faculty (Procedures).

- 21. As authorized by the Faculty Code, the Policies and Procedures in place at the School during the period 1995 through 2000 were "procedures regarding tenure considerations" within the meaning of Section 23-45 of the Faculty Code
  - 22. The Procedures required that the School conduct reviews for tenure in the fall.
- 23. The Procedures required that the School's Dean and its Executive Committee appoint an Ad Hoc Review Committee to evaluate the candidacy for tenure of an assistant professor.
- 24 The Procedures required that the Ad Hoc Review Committee prepare and distribute to the School's professors and associate professors a report on the assistant professor candidate for tenure at least four days prior to the meeting referenced in Paragraphs 14 and 15 above.
- 25. The Procedures allowed the Dean of the School to recommend a postponement of a decision on tenure after the School's faculty have voted on the candidacy for tenure of an assistant professor.

### **Prof. Seyfried's Tenure Decision**

- 26. In 1999, during Prof Seyfried's sixth year of employment as an assistant professor at the School, the School conducted a tenure review on her. Part of that review included the preparation of a report on her candidacy (Report) from an Ad Hoc Review Committee, comprising Professor Lewayne Gilchrist, a Caucasian female, Associate Professor Jean Kruzich, a Caucasian female, and Associate Professor Sue Sohng, a female of Korean ancestry.
- 27. On November 1, 1999, the report was distributed to the School's professors and associate professors.
  - 28. In the Report the Ad Hoc Review Committee evaluated Prof. Seyfried on

Harrell, Desper, Connell, Hunter & Gautschi, P L.L C
600 Puget Sound Plaza
1325 Fourth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101
(206)583-0050

FAX (206)583-0051

COMPLAINT

scholarship, teaching and service.

- 29 Based largely on numerical ratings on course evaluation forms completed by Prof Seyfried's students on each of the eleven courses that she taught during the five years preceding the issuance of the Report, the authors of that document concluded that she did not meet the School's expectations for teaching effectiveness.
- 30. After noting that Prof Seyfried had published three peer-reviewed articles in scholarly journals, had two such articles accepted for publication in a peer-reviewed journal, and had authored an in-press book review, the authors of the Report concluded that she had not met the School's expectations regarding scholarship.
- 31. On November 2, 1999, the School's professors and associate professors attended the meeting on Prof. Seyfried's candidacy as mandated by Sections 24-54 and 25-41.B of the Faculty Code In violation of the "four day" rule set referenced in Paragraph 24, the meeting took place on the day after the distribution of the Report had occurred At the meeting the School's professors and associate professors voted to recommend against tenure for Prof. Seyfried.
- 32. In February 2000, the Provost of the University notified the Dean of the School that the Dean's recommendation to deny tenure to Prof. Seyfried had been accepted.
- 33. In March 2000, the Dean of the School notified Prof. Seyfried that the Provost had accepted the recommendation to deny tenure
- 34. As a result, Prof. Seyfried's employment with the University ended on June 30, 2001

## **Tenure Decisions of Comparators**

35. In evaluating Prof. Seyfried for tenure, the School intentionally treated her differently, without any rational basis for doing so, from other similarly situated persons

Harrell, Desper, Connell, Hunter & Gautschi, P L L C

600 Puget Sound Plaza 1325 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101 (206)583-0050 FAX (206)583-0051

28 COMPLAINT

including, for example, Sue Sohng

- 36. In the fall of 1995, Sue Sohng began her sixth year of employment as an assistant professor in the School
- 37. During the 1995-1996 academic year the School did not conduct the required review for tenure on Sue Sohng.
- 38. As of the fall of 1995, Sue Sohng had published one article in a peer reviewed scholarly journal, one chapter in a book, and one book review.
- 39 During the 1996-1997 academic year Sue Sohng continued to be employed as an assistant professor in the School.
  - 40 During the fall of 1996 the School reviewed Sue Sohng for tenure.
- 41. An Ad Hoc Review Committee (Committee) prepared a report on Sue Sohng which was distributed to the School's faculty in October 1996.
- 42 In its report the Committee evaluated Sue Sohng's teaching performance for the twenty sections of courses that she had taught since her arrival at the School in 1990. In assessing Sue Sohng's performance for eleven of those sections the Committee made no reference to, or noted in any way, the numerical scores obtained by Sue Sohng on course evaluations completed by her students. The Committee's report concluded that Sue Sohng had met the criteria for tenure on the teaching dimension.
- 43. As to Sue Sohng's scholarship, the Report noted "that the quality and originality of Dr. Sohng's scholarship compensates for what might appear to be a modest number of publications." The Report concluded that Sue Sohng had met the criteria for tenure on the scholarship dimension

### **Damages**

44. As result of the conduct set forth above, Prof. Seyfried suffered economic losses and

Harrell, Desper, Connell, Hunter & Gautschi, P L L C 600 Puget Sound Plaza 1325 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101 (206)583-0050

FAX: (206)583-0051

**COMPLAINT** 

- 13	
1	damage to her professional career and reputation, emotional distress and loss of quality of life
2	Claims for Relief
3	45. Prof. Seyfried realleges Paragraphs 1 - 44 above.
4	46. By the conduct set forth above the University unlawfully failed to follow its own
5	mandatory procedures that were a prerequisite to the vote by the School's faculty on Prof
6	Seyfried's candidacy for tenure thereby denying her full and fair consideration of her candidacy
7	for tenure.
8	47. By the conduct set forth above, the University denied Prof. Seyfried equal protection
9	of the law in violation of the 14th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States
10	Request for Trial by Jury
11	48. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Prof. Seyfried requests
12	trial by jury.
13	Request for Relief
14	Prof Seyfried requests that this Court award her judgment against the University to
15	include the following.
16	(a) Actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
17	(b) Reinstatement as an assistant professor in the School and an order requiring
18	the University to conduct another review of her candidacy for tenure in
19	conformity with the University's regulations;
20	(c) Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
21	(d) Such other relief that the Court determines to be appropriate.
22	Dated thisday of October, 2002
23	
24	
25	
26 27	Harrell, Desper, Connell, Hunter & Gautschi, P L L C 600 Puget Sound Plazz 1325 Fourth Avenue
28	Seattle, WA 9810: (206)583-0050 COMPLAINT 7 FAX (206)583-005:
	A .

HARRELL, DESPER, CONNELL, HUNTER & GAUTSCHI, P.L.L.C.

Timothy J. Pauls WSBA No. 18583 Bruce A. Harrell WSBA No. 17173

Attorneys for Sherri Seyfried

Harrell, Desper, Connell, Hunter & Gautschi, P L L C
600 Puget Sound Plaza
1325 Fourth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101
(206)583-0050
FAX (206)583-0051

**COMPLAINT**